

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/078,992	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHAIKO, DAVID J.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	<b>Art Unit</b> 1714	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Joseph Meara.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 April 2004
**Time:** 1300
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*pending claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to clarify the meaning of term "sub-monomolecular". The term means that the clay paletlet surfaces is not completely covered with organic component or partially covered.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*K. Lee*  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)